

## Description of the Upcoming Change in Data Analysis for USP Dissolution Performance Verification Tests

Walter W. Hauck,<sup>a</sup> Anthony J. DeStefano, William E. Brown, Erika S. Stippler, Darrell R. Abernethy, Roger L. Williams, *USP*, and the Biopharmaceutics Expert Committee<sup>b</sup>

**ABSTRACT** As part of its evaluation of the performance verification tests used periodically to affirm the integrity of the USP Performance test when General Chapter *Dissolution* (711) is relied upon, the Biopharmaceutics Expert Committee of the Council of Experts, working with staff, decided to change the form of the accept/reject decision from one based on the result for each tablet to one based on the mean and coefficient of variation of results from a set of tablets. This paper describes the new approach. The paper also describes an implementation period for the approach, coupled with a period during which USP will discontinue use of the Salicylic Acid tablet in a performance verification test.

### INTRODUCTION

USP has embarked on a vigorous program to evaluate its performance verification tests (PVTs) in order to maximize their value in ensuring the integrity of the dissolution and other procedures (e.g., 1, 2). For the dissolution procedure described in General Chapter *Dissolution* (711) and applied to nonsolution orally administered dosage forms, this has led to two major changes.

**First**, Salicylic Acid tablets will be discontinued as an available official USP Reference Standard (RS) for use in a PVT. The transition is expected at the end of CY 2009. Adequate information will be made available to users to allow a smooth transition, and USP's remaining PVT tablets (Prednisone and Chlorpheniramine Maleate Extended-Release RS tablets) will continue to be supplied as before.

**Second**, the form of the accept/reject decision for the PVT will change. A 2007 *Stimuli* article proposed the change from one based on per-tablet results—which correspond to individual positions in an assembly—to one based on the mean and coefficient of variation (CV) of a set of RS tablet results (3). Note that an assembly is the complete dissolution test equipment including 6 to 12 apparatus positions, depending on the manufacturer. Responses to the authors of the five comments received regarding the *Stimuli* article were published in a subsequent *Stimuli* article (4). One comment suggested that the test be done in a two-stage fashion. This is similar to the current procedure for General Chapter *Dissolution* (711) (5) and for General Chapter *Uniformity of Dosage Units* (905) (6). A two-stage test is an optional part of the proposal described here.

USP's Biopharmaceutics Expert Committee (BPC) reviewed the current proposal and concluded that USP should proceed with implementation of the revised form of the PVT acceptance criteria, as described in the 2007 *Stimuli* article (3), and include the option of a two-stage test. The purpose of this *Stimuli* article is to describe the revised approach that will apply to PVTs used to assess the integrity of the dissolution

procedure as described in General Chapter (711). The background information provided to the BPC, including operating characteristic curves, will be submitted for publication elsewhere (article in preparation).

### Single-Stage Test

The current acceptance criteria for the dissolution PVT are per RS tablet. That is, the result for each tablet/position must fall within the acceptance range, which arises from collaborative studies of RS tablets and is based on both inter- and intra-laboratory variability. The proposal is to replace the current approach with one based on the mean and CV of results from a set of tablets judged relative to acceptance ranges obtained from the collaborative study. The new approach will follow ISO International Standard 5725-6 (7). In Technical Specification 21748 ISO recommended a minimum of 15 degrees of freedom for the variability (8). USP elected to increase the number of tablets in the PVT from that currently required but to a lesser extent than that called for by the 15-degree-of-freedom recommendation.

The following are step-by-step instructions for the single-stage test. Sufficient detail is provided so readers can both understand the procedure and, if desired, perform the calculations. USP will make available on its Web site a spreadsheet that will accept data from the 12 to 16 individual results from steps 1 and 2 and perform all the calculations.

1. For each position in the assembly, test one USP PVT RS (Prednisone RS tablets for Apparatus 1 and 2, and Chlorpheniramine Maleate Extended-Release RS tablets for Apparatus 3 at each dip rate), and record the percent dissolved at each sampling time point(s) specified for that apparatus (i.e., 30 min for Apparatus 1 and 2 and each of the times specified for Apparatus 3). After transforming the percent dissolved results to the log scale, determine the mean and variance. For assemblies with 12 positions (12 dissolution vessels), no further testing is required.
2. For assemblies with fewer than 12 positions, repeat Step 1 with an additional set of tablets. Again after transforming the percent dissolved results to the log scale, determine the mean and variance.

<sup>a</sup> Correspondence should be addressed to: Walter W. Hauck, PhD, Senior Scientific Fellow, US Pharmacopeia, 12601 Twinbrook Parkway, Rockville, MD 20852-1790; tel. 301.816.8390; e-mail wh@usp.org.

<sup>b</sup> For a list of the members of the Biopharmaceutics Expert Committee, please see Appendix A.

- Calculate the average of the two means and of the two variances obtained in Steps 1 and 2. (Use the results from Step 1 alone for assemblies that have 12 positions.)
- Convert the results of Step 3 to a geometric mean (GM) and percent coefficient of variation (%CV), and round both to one decimal place following USP rounding rules (9).
- Compare the results of Step 4 to the acceptance ranges on the data sheet that is shipped with the product. The GM must not fall outside the limits, and the %CV must not be greater than the limit. If both meet the criteria, the assembly has passed the PVT.

Table 1 shows what the acceptance ranges would be for the current lots of Prednisone and Chlorpheniramine Maleate Reference Standard tablets.

NOTE: The criteria for Prednisone Lot P RS tablets reflect changed ranges as of 16 July 2007. The decision approach for Apparatus 3 uses 97.5% limits rather than the 95% limits used for the other apparatus in order to adjust for the two independent tests at the two dip rates.

NOTE: *These acceptance ranges are not official and are provided for information only.*

**Table 1. Acceptance Ranges for Basic Test (Single Stage)**  
*Not Official—For Informational Purposes Only*  
**1A. Acceptance Ranges for Apparatus 1 and 2**

Apparatus 1			Apparatus 2	
Number of Positions	GM on or within	%CV nmt <sup>a</sup>	GM on or within	%CV nmt
6	53.9–71.8	11.0%	34.5–49.7	11.5%
7	54.0–71.7	10.8%	34.5–49.7	11.2%
8	54.0–71.6	10.6%	34.6–49.6	11.0%
12	53.9–71.8	10.9%	34.5–49.7	11.3%

<sup>a</sup> nmt = not more than

**1B. Acceptance Ranges for Apparatus 3**

Number of Positions	5 Dips/Min				30 Dips/Min			
	1 Hour		4 Hours		2 Hours		6 Hours	
	GM on or within	%CV nm	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM nlt <sup>b</sup>	%CV nmt
6	27.0–31.9	6.3%	59.5–65.1	4.7%	47.6–64.0	10.8%	88.7	4.4%
7	27.0–31.9	6.2%	59.6–65.1	4.6%	47.7–63.9	10.5%	88.8	4.3%

<sup>b</sup> nlt = not less than

Figure 1 shows a spreadsheet demonstrating the steps outlined above. The data are from an experiment using Apparatus 1. These data fail the proposed approach because the geometric mean is too low. Note that each result for the first run

meets the current per-tablet criteria, but one result of the second run does not (the value of 41.1 is below the lower limit of the current range).

Data from Apparatus 1							
Run 1				Run 2			
Data	In scale			Data	In scale		
56.8	4.040	[=ln(A5)]		54.4	3.996		
54.2	3.993			47.8	3.867		
55.4	4.015			41.1	3.716		
53.9	3.987			56.2	4.029		
56.8	4.040			47.3	3.857		
54.2	3.993			50.3	3.918		
	4.011	mean [=average(B5:B10)]			3.897	mean [=average(F5:F10)]	
	0.00058	variance [=var(B5:B10)]			0.01260	variance [=var(F5:F10)]	
					3.954	average mean, In scale [=average(B11, F11)]	
					0.00659	average variance, In scale [=average(B12, F12)]	
				Does not meet	52.1	GM	[=exp(F14)]
				Meets	8.1%	%CV	[=sqrt(exp(F15)-1), formatted to be a %]

Figure 1. Spreadsheet showing implementation of the steps of the basic (single-stage) test.

For laboratories the new approach will mean less work for Apparatus 1 and 2. Because Salicylic Acid tablets are being discontinued, even if the total number of tablets remains unchanged less work remains because the assay for only one compound is required. For Apparatus 3 an increase in work is required because of the doubling in the number of tablets tested. Laboratories can reduce their work for all apparatus by choosing the optional two-stage test (see next section) and controlling their dissolution results so that the data are sufficiently tight to meet the accept/reject decision after testing only the first set of tablets.

### Optional Two-Stage Test

A laboratory may choose to implement the PVT as a two-stage test. In the clinical trials literature the underlying idea that supports the two-stage test is termed a group sequential design. That is, the two-stage test is a statistically valid means of allowing the possibility of stopping the test at the first stage. A group sequential design allows for early stopping of the full 12–16 tablet test with a penalty, but the test is still considered a 12–16 tablet test. As will be seen below, the accept/reject decision rules are more stringent at the first stage (the penalty) than at the second and, at the second, slightly different from the single-stage criteria shown in *Table 1*. Assemblies with 12 positions are not included in the two-stage option because two stages would require users to test 24 tablets, although an assembly with 6 positions would never be required to test more than 12 tablets.

Following are step-by-step instructions for the two-stage procedure. As was the case with the single-stage test, USP will make available on its Web site a spreadsheet that practitioners can use to perform the calculations.

1. For each position in the assembly, test one USP PVT RS (Prednisone RS tablets for Apparatus 1 and 2, and Chlorpheniramine Maleate Extended-Release tablets for Apparatus 3 at each dip rate), and record the percent dissolved at each sampling time point(s) specified for that apparatus (30 min for Apparatus 1 and 2 and each of the times specified for Apparatus 3). After transforming the percent dissolved results to the log scale, determine the mean and variance.
2. Convert the results of Step 1 to a GM and %CV, round both to one decimal place following USP rounding rules (9), and compare to the Stage One acceptance ranges on the data sheet that is shipped with the product. The GM must not fall outside the limits, and the %CV must not be greater than the limit.
3. If results of Step 2 satisfy both acceptance criteria, stop; the assembly has passed the PVT. Otherwise continue to Step 4.
4. Repeat Step 1 with an additional set of tablets and after transforming the percent dissolved results to the log scale determine the mean and variance for the data obtained at this step.
5. Average the two means and two variances obtained in Steps 1 and 4.
6. Convert the results of Step 5 to a GM and %CV, and round both to one decimal place following USP rounding rules (9).
7. Compare the results of Step 6 to the Stage 2 acceptance ranges on the data sheet that is shipped with the product. The GM must not fall outside the limits, and the %CV must not be greater than the limit. If both meet the acceptance criteria, the assembly has passed the PVT.

*Table 2* shows what the acceptance ranges would be for the two-stage test for the current lots of Prednisone and Chlorpheniramine Maleate Reference Standard tablets. [NOTE: **These acceptance ranges are not official and are provided for information only**].

**Table 2. Acceptance Ranges for Optional Two-Stage Test**  
*Not Official—For Informational Purposes Only*  
**2A. Acceptance Ranges for Apparatus 1 and 2**

Number of Positions	Apparatus 1				Apparatus 2			
	After 1 <sup>st</sup> Stage		After 2 <sup>nd</sup> Stage		After 1 <sup>st</sup> Stage		After 2 <sup>nd</sup> Stage	
	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM on or within	%CV nmt
6	58.4–66.3	8.2%	53.9–71.8	9.6%	38.2–44.9	8.6%	34.5–49.7	11.2%
7	58.4–66.2	8.2%	54.0–71.7	9.5%	38.3–44.8	8.6%	34.5–49.7	11.0%
8	58.5–66.2	8.3%	54.0–71.6	9.4%	38.3–44.8	8.6%	34.6–49.6	10.9%

**2B. Acceptance Ranges for Apparatus 3, 5 Dips/Min**

Number of Positions	1 Hour				4 Hours			
	After 1 <sup>st</sup> Stage		After 2 <sup>nd</sup> Stage		After 1 <sup>st</sup> Stage		After 2 <sup>nd</sup> Stage	
	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM on or within	%CV nmt
6	28.2–30.2	4.5%	27.0–31.9	6.2%	60.9–63.3	3.3%	59.5–65.1	4.6%
7	28.2–30.2	4.5%	27.0–31.9	6.1%	60.9–63.3	3.3%	59.6–65.1	4.5%

**2C. Acceptance Ranges for Apparatus 3, 30 Dips/Min**

Number of Positions	2 Hours				4 Hours			
	After 1 <sup>st</sup> Stage		After 2 <sup>nd</sup> Stage		After 1 <sup>st</sup> Stage		After 2 <sup>nd</sup> Stage	
	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM on or within	%CV nmt	GM nlt	%CV nmt
6	51.5–58.2	7.6%	47.6–64.0	10.6%	92.4–98.3	3.1%	88.7	4.3%
7	51.5–58.2	7.7%	47.7–63.9	10.3%	92.4–98.2	3.1%	88.8	4.2%

Figure 2 shows a spreadsheet implementing the procedure outlined above. The data are from Apparatus 1 and are the same as in Figure 1. These data do not meet the acceptance criteria after the first stage because of a geometric mean that falls below the Stage 1 lower limit in the new acceptance

range, which requires the second stage of testing. They then fail the new acceptance criteria after the second stage because the geometric mean is below the stage 2 lower limit in the new acceptance range.

Data from Apparatus 1							
Step 1				Step 2			
Data	In scale			Data	In scale		
56.8	4.039536			54.4	3.996364		
54.2	3.992681			47.8	3.867026		
55.4	4.01458			41.1	3.716008		
53.9	3.98713			56.2	4.028917		
56.8	4.039536			47.3	3.85651		
54.2	3.992681			50.3	3.918005		
	4.011024	mean			3.897138	mean	
	0.000576	variance			0.012602	variance	
	55.2	GM	Does not meet	3.954081	average mean, In scale		
	2.4%	%CV	Meets	0.006589	average variance, In scale		
				52.1	GM	Does not meet	
				8.1%	%CV	Meets	

Figure 2. Spreadsheet showing implementation of the steps of the optional two-stage test.

Laboratory personnel should be aware of some special considerations when they choose the two-stage test:

1. First, if a second stage is required, the assembly has not failed the test after the first stage. It is still a test of 12 to 16 tablets, depending on the number of positions in the assembly. The assembly can be considered to have failed only after the second stage, i.e., only after the full complement of 12 to 16 tablets is tested.
2. The laboratory has the option of stopping the test after the first stage if it sees a problem in the assembly's operation. It is not a requirement of the PVT to complete the second stage in such a circumstance. However, after taking action(s) to fix the problem, the laboratory must start the PVT again at Step 1.
3. The choice of using the basic single-stage test or the two-stage test must be made as a matter of company policy and documented in standard procedures for the PVT. The choice of single- or two-stage test can differ with respect to different apparatus.

### Implementation

USP is implementing two changes in its dissolution PVT. First, Salicylic Acid tablets will be discontinued as an official USP RS for use in a PVT. The discontinuation is proposed as a revision to <711> in *PF* 34(5) with a targeted official date at the end of 2009. USP has sufficient inventory of the Salicylic Acid tablets to meet industry needs during this period. Adequate information will be made available to users to allow a smooth transition, and USP's remaining PVT tablets (Predisone and Clorpheniramine Maleate Extended-Release RS tablets) will continue to be supplied as before. The second is the change in the design of the PVT study, increasing from 6–12 tablets to 12–16, and the form of the accept/reject decision rule described herein. Although these are two separate decisions, USP has chosen to link their implementation in order to simplify the process of changing USP documents and laboratory SOPs. To implement both changes, USP will be publishing changes to General Chapter <711> to remove the test using Salicylic Acid and to remove the per-tablet approach. These changes are to USP text only and do not influence the harmonized portions of this chapter.

A new lot, Q, of the Prednisone RS tablets is in production. As was the case for prior lots, a collaborative study will be conducted to determine the PVT acceptance ranges. Both the new and current (per-tablet) acceptance ranges will be determined for Lot Q and will be proposed to USP's Biopharmaceutics and Reference Standards Expert Committees for endorsement and approval. The current plan is that the per-tablet accept/reject approach will continue as official at the time of release of the Lot Q series of Prednisone RS tablets in early 2009. The new accept/reject approach, as described here, will then become official for Apparatus 1 and 2 in late 2009 after a period of time during which users will have an opportunity to become more familiar with the changes. The official date for the change for Apparatus 3 has not been decided. Once the new approach becomes official for an apparatus, the per-tablet approach will no longer be valid for that apparatus. Actual implementation will be executed when USP states the new approach and acceptance ranges on the data sheets shipped with the reference standards. Removal of Salicylic Acid as a PVT test for Apparatus 1 and 2 will occur on 1 December 2009, the date when changes published in *USP 32, Supple-*

*ment 2*, become official. USP will make available spreadsheets (compendial tools) similar to those illustrated by *Figures 1* and *2*. Laboratory staff will be able to enter their data in the spreadsheet, which will automatically display whether the data "meet the criteria" or "do not meet the criteria." The available USP toolkit (*10*) also will be modified accordingly. USP may prepare additional training materials to assist users in the transition.

### SUMMARY

In 2009 the accept/reject approach for the dissolution PVT will change from the basis of per-tablet results to the basis of the mean and variability of results from a set of RS tablets. The change brings the USP standard into alignment with ISO International Standard 5725 (7) and advances improvement in measurement science. With the current criteria it is possible for some of the tablets to just pass at the low end of the criteria and the remainder to just pass at the high end (e.g., for Apparatus 2, three results at 30% and three at 57%). Although they technically meet the current PVT acceptance criteria, such results clearly indicate a problem with the assembly's operation/performance. With the new approach, the requirement regarding the %CV ensures sufficient consistency of results across positions in the assembly. Reliance on the mean ensures that those consistent results are within an acceptable interval of percent dissolved as determined from the RS collaborative study. The new approach will replace the current one, so individual tablet results no longer will be considered in evaluation of whether an assembly satisfies stipulations of a PVT.

### REFERENCES

1. Deng G, Ashley AJ, Brown WE, et al. The USP performance verification test, part I: quality attributes and experimental variables contributing to dissolution variance. *Pharm Res.* 2008;25(5):1100–1109.
2. Glasgow M, Dressman S, Brown WE, et al. The USP performance verification test, part II: collaborative study of USP's Lot P Prednisone Tablets. *Pharm Res.* 2008;25(5):1110–1115.
3. Hauck WW, Manning RG, Cecil TL, Brown WE, Williams RL. Proposed change to acceptance criteria for dissolution performance verification testing. *Pharm Forum.* 2007;33(3):574–579.
4. Hauck WW, Cecil TL, Brown WE, Abernethy DR, Koch WF, Williams RL. USP responses to comments on *Stimuli* article, "Proposed change to acceptance criteria for dissolution performance verification testing." *Pharm Forum.* 2008;34(2):474–476.
5. USP. *USP 31–NF 26, Dissolution* <711>. Rockville, MD: USP; 2008:267–274.
6. USP. *USP 31–NF 26, Uniformity of Dosage Units* <905>. Rockville, MD: USP; 2008:363–369.
7. ISO. *ISO 5725-6:1994, Accuracy (Trueness and Precision) of Measurement Methods and Results—Part 6: Use in Practice of Accuracy Values*. Geneva, Switzerland: ISO; 1994.
8. ISO. *ISO/TS 21748:2004, Guidance for the Use of Repeatability, Reproducibility, and Trueness Estimates in Measurement Uncertainty Estimation*. Geneva, Switzerland: ISO; 2004.
9. USP. *USP 31–NF 26, General Notices, Significant Figures, and Tolerances*. Rockville, MD: USP; 2008:4.
10. USP. *Dissolution Toolkit*. Available at: <http://www.usp.org/USPNF/compendialTools.html>. Accessed July 16, 2008.

**APPENDIX A: MEMBERS OF USP'S  
BIOPHARMACEUTICS EXPERT COMMITTEE**

Thomas S. Foster, PharmD (Chair)  
Professor, University of Kentucky Medical Center

James E. Polli, PhD (Vice Chair)  
Professor, University of Maryland

Diane J. Burgess, PhD  
Professor of Pharmaceutics, University of  
Connecticut, School of Pharmacy

G. Bryan Crist, BS  
Scientific Affairs Manager, Varian, Inc.

Mario A. Gonzalez, PhD  
President, P<sup>2</sup>Kinetics International, Inc.

Vivian A. Gray, BS  
President, V.A. Gray Consulting, Inc.

Johannes Kraemer, PhD  
CEO, Phast

Lewis J. Leeson, PhD  
President, LJL Associates Inc.

Alan F. Parr, PharmD, PhD  
Director, Biopharmaceutics, GlaxoSmithKline

Leon Shargel, PhD  
President, Applied Biopharmaceutics

Eli Shefter, PhD  
Chief Scientific Officer, IriSys R & D

W. Craig Simon, PhD  
Manager, Bureau of Pharmaceutical Sciences  
Health Canada

Nhan L. Tran, PhD  
Senior Associate, Lachman Consultant Services, Inc

Clarence T. Ueda, PharmD, PhD  
Professor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of  
Nebraska College of Pharmacy